



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/608.173	06/27/2003	Jan Chipchase	884A.0012.U1(US)	8233	
	29683	7590 10/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			REGO, DO	· REGO, DOMINIC E	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2618				
				DATE MAILED: 10/20/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/608,173	CHIPCHASE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dominic E. Rego	2684		

D Complete St. A. A. A. A. D. C. C.	•							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Dominic E. Rego	2684						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a) Me period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. 								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final reject	ion.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
appeal; and/or	 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / inchanter	(1 10L-0 2 +).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	will not be entered, or b)	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a North date of the	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 		n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues Bork does not teach or disclose anything to suggest that the dimensions of the cradle would be suitable for supporting anything in addition to the mobile phone as shown. The examiner disagrees. The car keys or coins can be easily put on top of the caddle since the claims 1 and 11 states "a body for supporting simultaneously a plurality of objects including a mobile phone and at least one other object". Applicant argues the only data transfer from the cradle to the mobile phone occurs via data connector 38 in the cradle. This data transfer is not wireless. There is no disclosure of any other means of data transfer between the cradle and the supported mobile phone. The examiner disagrees. In col 6, line 6-13, Bork clearly states FIG. 16 illustrates the system of FIG. 13 further including a mobile device with a Bluetooth radio 54. In this particular embodiment, mobile device 54 is a cellular telephone that includes a transceiver and antenna to communicate with cellular telephone base stations and includes a transceiver and antenna to communicate with low power short distance wireless devices. The applicant argues the Examiner asserted that Bork discloses " a user interface (Figure 16, between element 54 to element 45). Instead of element 45, it should be element 46 where both mobile unit 54 and cradle 46 are wirelessly connected to each other.

D. R.

auochien B. Vuong 10/4/06
QUOCHIEN B. VUONG

QUOCHIEN B. VUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER